

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/661,439	Applicant(s) SHIN ET AL.	
	Examiner Rudy Zervigon	Art Unit 1763	

All Participants:

(1) Rudy Zervigon.

(2) Thomas C. Basso (312.372.1121).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 April 2006

Time: 13:15

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All pending

Claims discussed:

All pending

Prior art documents discussed:


None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

I called Mr. Basso to inquire on the disposition of the case. Mr. Basso confirmed that Applicants elected to pursue a continuation case and allow the pending case to abandon.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)